類別二 加強實質審查期刊名單		
期刊官網中明敘「不以審查人認定之科學重要性作為論文接受與否之依據」。右		
方欄位之文句係摘自期刊官網,括號內以底線標示之文字為院方註記。		
期刊名稱/出版社	Editorial Policy/Scope of the Journal/Reviewer Guide	
ACS Omega /	ACS Omega is an open-access global publication for	
American	scientific articles that describe new findings in chemistry	
Chemical Society	and interfacing areas of science, without any perceived	
	evaluation of immediate impact.	
AIP Advances /	Our Editors, assisted by peer review, determine whether	
American Institute	a manuscript is technically correct and original. After	
of Physics	publication, the readership evaluates whether a	
	manuscript is timely, relevant, or significant.	
Biology Open /	Reviewers are asked to confirm that the experimental	
The Company of	work is properly conducted and that the conclusions are	
Biologists	adequately supported by the data. We do not require any	
	assessment of the significance, relative importance or	
	impact of a paper. However, the paper should clearly	
	address a non-trivial scientific question.	
	Editors will also consider Methods & Techniques papers,	
	papers on computational analysis, replication studies,	
	and rigorous reports presenting negative results.	
BMC Research	The BMC Series subject-specific journals do not make	
Notes /	editorial decisions on the basis of the interest of a study	
BioMed Central	or its likely impact. Studies must be scientifically valid,	
Ltd.	technically sound and make an original contribution to	
DMI O	the literature.	
BMJ Open /	All articles published in BMJ Open will have been sent	
BMJ Publishing	for external, open peer review. Reviewers will not be	
Group Ltd	asked to judge importance or breadth of appeal.	
	Readers will be able to make these judgements for themselves.	
Cureus (又名	All authors should invite unbiased and expert reviewers	
Cureus Journal of	who will provide critical feedback.	
Medical Science) /	Two completed reviews are required in order to proceed,	
Cureus, Inc.	one of which must be from a reviewer invited by Cureus.	
Gurduo, mo.	This requirement will be waived after 21 days if two	
	author-invited reviews have been submitted.	
	(Reviewers 可由作者自行邀請。)	
Heliyon /	Your research will be judged on technical soundness	
Cell Press	only, not on its perceived impact as judged by editors or	
	referees.	

類別二 加強實質審查期刊名單 期刊官網中明敘「不以審查人認定之科學重要性作為論文接受與否之依據」。右

方欄位之文句係摘自期刊官網,括號內以底線標示之文字為院方註記。		
期刊名稱/出版社	Editorial Policy/Scope of the Journal/Reviewer Guide	
FEBS Open Bio /	The journal's rigorous peer review process focusses on	
Wiley	the technical and ethical quality of papers, rather than	
	objective judgements of significance.	
F1000 Research /	Articles must be original (not duplications). All research	
F1000 Research	is suitable irrespective of the perceived level of interest	
Ltd.	or novelty; we welcome confirmatory and negative	
	results, as well as null studies.	
GigaScience /	Built upon the principles of open and FAIR data,	
Oxford Academic	reproducibility, usability and utility are our key criteria for	
	publication rather than subjective assessments of	
	impact.	
IEEE Access /	Unlike IEEE's traditional Transactions or Journals,	
Institute of	reviews are "binary", in that reviewers will either Accept	
Electrical and	or Reject an article in the form it is submitted in order to	
Electronics	achieve rapid turnaround.	
Engineers	(No mandatory revision is requested by the journal,	
	which may compromise quality.)	
Medicine /	Reviewers will be asked to respond to the following	
Lippincott Williams	questions before submitting a review:	
& Wilkins	Is the manuscript technically sound, and the data	
	support the conclusion?	
	Has the statistical analysis been performed appropriately	
	and rigorously?	
	Does the manuscript adhere to standards in this field for	
	data availability?	
	Is the manuscript presented in an intelligible fashion and	
	written in standard English?	
	(Originality and impact are not evaluated by reviewers.)	
PeerJ /	PeerJ judges content only on scientific and	
PeerJ Inc	methodological soundness. It does not, for example,	
	reject articles based on lack of novelty, interest or	
	impact.	
PLoS One /	We evaluate research on scientific validity, strong	
Public Library of	We evaluate research on scientific validity, strong methodology, and high ethical standards—not perceived	
	We evaluate research on scientific validity, strong	
Public Library of	We evaluate research on scientific validity, strong methodology, and high ethical standards—not perceived	

新到 - 加改安历安	*5 以 - 上 弘 察 所 宏 未 抽 对 夕 昭		
類別二 加強實質審查期刊名單			
期刊官網中明敘「不以審查人認定之科學重要性作為論文接受與否之依據」。右			
方欄位之文句係摘自期刊官網,括號內以底線標示之文字為院方註記。			
期刊名稱/出版社	Editorial Policy/Scope of the Journal/Reviewer Guide		
QScience Connect	We aim to decrease the burden on peer reviewers, by		
/ HBKU Press	making our requests to them as simple as possible. We		
	simply ask them to determine if the work presented has		
	been carried out in an appropriate way and that the		
	results, positive or negative, are clear and accessible.		
Royal Society	The journal covers the entire range of science and		
Open Science /	mathematics and allows the Society to publish all the		
The Royal Society	high-quality work it receives without the usual restrictions		
	on scope, length or impact.		
SAGE Open	SAGE Open Medicine seeks to be one of the world's		
Medicine /	premier open access outlets for medical academic		
SAGE	research. As such, SAGE Open Medicine does not limit		
Publications Inc	content due to page budgets or thematic significance.		
Scientific Reports /	At Scientific Reports, we focus on ensuring that all		
Nature Research	papers we publish are of high technical quality, and let		
	the scientific community determine the impact of your		
	work.		
The Scientific	In the spirit of sharing findings through our open science		
World Journal /	mission, emphasis is not placed on novelty, interest, or		
Hindawi	perceived impact. Replication studies, particularly of		
	research published in this journal, are encouraged.		